

REMARKS

Applicants have canceled all claims except those that have been allowed. Cancellation is without prejudice to continue prosecution of those claims in a continuing application. The application is considered to be in condition for allowance.

This confirms the telephonic interview of January 29, 2007 in which applicants' undersigned counsel argued that dependent claims 34-37 and 39-41 should be entered and allowed. Applicant's attorney argued that in view of the prior consideration and allowance of the subject matter of the dependent claims, it was improper to consider them as failing to satisfy the requirements of §112, paragraphs 1 and 2, the basis on which the refusal to enter had been made.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Arthur Z. Bookstein', written over a horizontal line.

Arthur Z. Bookstein
Reg. No. 22,958
Attorney for Applicant
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART PRESTON
GATES ELLIS LLP
State Street Financial Center
One Lincoln Street
Boston, MA 02111
Tel: 617-261-3100
Fax: 617-261-3175

Date: February 21, 2007